

Constructing balanced equations of motion for particles in general relativistic theories: the general case

Emanuel Gallo and Osvaldo M. Moreschi

Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación (FaMAF),
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba,
Instituto de Física Enrique Gaviola (IFEG), CONICET,
Ciudad Universitaria,(5000) Córdoba, Argentina.

We present a general approach for the formulation of equations of motion for compact objects in general relativistic theories. The particle is assumed to be moving in a geometric background which in turn is asymptotically flat. By construction, the model incorporates the back reaction due to gravitational radiation generated by the motion of the particle. Our approach differs from other constructions tackling the same kind of problem.

PACS numbers: 04.25.-g, 04.25.Nx, 04.20.Ha

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, it was announced the first direct detection of gravitational waves[1]. Thus a new way to observe the Universe and to receive information from it has become operative. In particular, with current detectors we expect to learn more about astrophysical processes involving systems with presence of strong gravitational fields and high relative velocities among compact bodies like black holes or neutron stars.

Although the detectors were not operating at maximum power and sensitivity the detected signal was strong enough to be easily observed. When in the near future, the detectors acquire the expected designed sensitivity there will be even better defined signals in which the fine physical interpretation of the data will become an active area of research. Furthermore, with the higher sensitivity it comes the bigger cosmic volume that the detectors can explore, and therefore one also expect to observe a variety of systems with many different physical situations. The work for full numerical relativity calculations will probably have to extend to unexpected initial conditions. Under this circumstances the need to estimate the most appropriate initial conditions of the system, will require for physical models that can handle strong gravity and back reaction in the dynamics.

Among the frequently approximate methods used we can mention the post-newtonian methods[2–4], the self-force approach[5, 6], and models based on effective one body approach[7] techniques, etc. One can find also other approaches intended to build Lorentz-covariant approximation methods[8–11]. In particular for the case of a binary system of black holes, it is a good starting model to think the system as consisting of point particles whose dynamics is described in a flat background with corrections due to the perturbations originated by the rest of the components of the system and the particles itself. However in order to arrive to these kind of models one needs to settle several issues, as for example what is the notion of particles in the general relativity framework that one should use.

The previous situation motivates the study of extending the particle paradigm to the relativistic regime, in which the gravitational radiation effects are taken into

account in the calculation of the equations of motion. Several related questions arise: what is the convenient structure that one should assume for the corresponding particle? in what way one could refer to the related equations of motion? how should one determine the corresponding equations of motion?

In reference [12] we have presented a new derivation of the equation of motion of charged particles in a flat background, interacting with an non-radiating external field, which balance the electromagnetic radiation. The new equation of motion is

$$(\dot{m} - \ddot{\alpha})v^a + m\dot{v}^a + \alpha\ddot{v}^a = eF(B)^a_b v^b + \frac{2}{3}e^2\dot{v}^b\dot{v}_b v^a; \quad (1)$$

where we are using abstract indices $a, b, \dots$, and beyond the standard mass m , four velocity v^a , charge e and electromagnetic field tensor F , there appears the new degree of freedom α , depending on the proper time τ , a dot over a scalar means derivative with respect to τ and over a vector means the covariant derivative in the direction of the vector v^a .

This equation can also be decomposed in terms of its projection in the direction of the velocity

$$\dot{m} - \ddot{\alpha} + \alpha a^2 = -\frac{2}{3}e^2 a^2; \quad (2)$$

and its orthogonal complement

$$m\dot{v}^a = eF(B)^a_b v^b - \alpha(\ddot{v}^a - a^2 v^a). \quad (3)$$

We have shown that this approach is successful for the derivation of the equation of motion, since it agrees with the well known Lorentz-Dirac dynamics for a particular case.

Since the balance equation strategy has been shown to be effective for the derivation of the equation of motion of charged particles, we intend to construct the analog to equation (1) for the gravitational case; where the variation of total momentum per unit time is balanced with radiation at infinity.

The present approach for building the equations of motion has in mind that one is concerned with the first order back reaction effects coming from the fact that accelerated masses radiate gravitationally. When dealing with complex systems, if one is interested in higher

order effects, then one should improve the model to the appropriate order. We here focus on the presentation for the construction of the equations of motion that take into account the first order back reaction effects due to gravitational radiation, within a wide class of general relativistic theories; previous to the fixing of a particular field equation. We do assume however that the energy momentum tensor is divergent free, and that isolated bodies are well represented by asymptotically flat spacetimes[13].

Of course referring to particles in the context of general relativity raises several issues. To begin with, the concept of particle involves the idea of a very compact object; but when one makes an object smaller and smaller, one does not end with a point like object but with a black hole. Secondly, if one defines particles as objects with a distributional energy momentum tensor with support on a timelike world line, one faces the problem of regularity of the spacetime. In this respect in reference [14] Geroch and Traschen define a notion of regular manifolds that admit distributions; and one dimensional distributions are not allowed in 4-dimensional manifolds. But one can always consider one dimensional distributions as the sources of the linear field equation on backgrounds; and this is the approach we use here.

In particular, we will present here the formal aspect and the problems that could arise in a model for a compact object, treated as a particle on an appropriately chosen flat background. The idea one has in mind is to apply this construction to a binary system, so that each of the compact objects will be treated likewise. The flat background metric by construction will share the same asymptotic region as the full metric of the spacetime: so that one of the inertial systems at infinity would be related to this flat global metric.

It is probably worthwhile to mention that the present authors started to work in this subject in the framework of general relativity using a couple of specific gauges; and while doing this they recognized that there where several structures that where common to different gauges, and even independent of the details of the field equations; granted a basic linear structure.

In particular, we take the opportunity in this article to study all the issues that one should tackle in the construction of balanced equations of motion for particles in general relativistic theories, prior to the choice of specific gauges in the calculation. The relation that we will make with the asymptotic structure of isolated systems is very detailed and we have not found similar analysis in the literature. The main objective in this work is the construction of the general form of a balanced equation of motion, for a wide class of field equations, which are independent of the gauge one is using. For this reason, some of the topics that we discuss here can be further developed after the field equation has been fixed and gauges have been selected.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we recall some background theoretical framework used in our construction. Section III is devoted to the discussion of the model at order zero in back reaction. In the

following two sections we discuss the relation between the information in the asymptotic region and the interior, and in section VI we present the new equations of motion in the model. We end with general remarks and comments in the last section.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we review some basic concepts in special relativity that include center of mass, intrinsic angular momentum and distributions; with the intention to clarify in detail the fundamental concepts that we are generalizing to the dynamics of a compact object, and so prevent future explanatory discussions.

So, since we are warning of the basic level of this section, we advice, for the reader interested in getting immediately into the subject, to just jump to the third following section.

A. Particles as isolated objects in special relativity

The notion of a point like object in a fixed background is normally presented through an energy momentum tensor which has support only on a timelike world line.

The first case one should understand is of course the flat background case.

Consider the situation in which a distribution of matter that has support within a world tube of finite size. Later we will take the limit in which the tube collapses to a timelike line.

Having a flat background we have at our disposal the translational Killing vectors $K_{\underline{a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\underline{a}}}$, with $\underline{a} = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and also the rotational Killing vectors $K_{\underline{ab}}(\xi) = \eta_{\underline{ac}}(x^{\underline{c}} - \xi^{\underline{c}})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\underline{b}}} - \eta_{\underline{bc}}(x^{\underline{c}} - \xi^{\underline{c}})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\underline{a}}}$; which are the generator of Lorentz rotations around the point $\xi^{\underline{c}}$.

The matter is represented by the energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} . Note that we are using the notation a, b, c for abstract indices while underlined indices are numeric. Then, at zero order, the energy-momentum tensor satisfies that its divergence is zero, namely:

$$\nabla \cdot T = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let K^a denote any of the Killing symmetries, then, for each symmetry one has a conserved quantity; in fact it has been explained elsewhere [12, 15] how these conserved quantities can be related to spheres that ‘surround’ the sources. Let us define the three form $\mathcal{D}_{abc}(K) = T^d_e K^e \epsilon_{abcd}$; then its exterior derivative is $d\mathcal{D}_{abcd} = k \nabla_f (T^f_e K^e) \epsilon_{abcd}$, where k is a constant. This exterior derivative vanishes due to the fact that the divergence of T is zero and K is a Killing symmetry. Then if Σ is a three dimensional region, such that the world tube goes through its interior, the quantity

$$Q = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{D}(K); \quad (5)$$

is conserved; in the sense that if Σ' is any other three dimensional region, such that the world tube goes through its interior, then, the integration of $\mathcal{D}$ on Σ' also gives Q . Another way of seeing this is the following: if the boundary of Σ is the sphere S , then for any other hypersurface Σ' with the same boundary the calculation of the quantity Q will give the same value; so that at the end, one associates Q to a given surface S . In this work, we use analogous ideas for the case of gravitating objects, and we will think of these spheres as residing at future null infinity; where the total momentum and flux of gravitational radiation are evaluated.

Using these structure one defines $P_{\underline{a}}$ by

$$P_{\underline{a}} = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{D}(K_{\underline{a}}); \quad (6)$$

as the components of the conserved total momentum, and also

$$J_{\underline{ab}}(\xi) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{D}(K_{\underline{ab}}(\xi)); \quad (7)$$

as the components of the conserved total angular momentum.

Let us observe that

$$J_{\underline{ab}}(\xi_2) - J_{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) = \eta_{\underline{ac}}(\xi_1^{\underline{c}} - \xi_2^{\underline{c}}) P_{\underline{b}} - \eta_{\underline{bc}}(\xi_1^{\underline{c}} - \xi_2^{\underline{c}}) P_{\underline{a}}; \quad (8)$$

or in other words

$$J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_2) = J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) + (\xi_1^{\underline{a}} - \xi_2^{\underline{a}}) P^{\underline{b}} - (\xi_1^{\underline{b}} - \xi_2^{\underline{b}}) P^{\underline{a}}. \quad (9)$$

It is probably worthwhile to notice that if one acts with Lorentz transformations on the initial frame $e_{\underline{a}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\underline{a}}}$, then the set of quantities $P_{\underline{a}}$ transforms as components of a co-vector, while the set $J_{\underline{ab}}$ transforms as the components of an antisymmetric tensor.

Let us emphasize again that $J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi)$ is conserved for any ξ . But one could consider, for example $\xi_1(\tau_1)$ as a timelike world line with proper time τ_1 . Therefore, calling $v_1^{\underline{a}} = \frac{d\xi^{\underline{a}}}{d\tau_1}$ one has

$$\frac{d}{d\tau_1} J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) = v_1^{\underline{b}} P^{\underline{a}} - v_1^{\underline{a}} P^{\underline{b}}. \quad (10)$$

Note that if one chooses v_1 to be parallel to P , then

$$\frac{d}{d\tau_1} J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Also, let us note that fixing ξ_2 , one has

$$J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) P_{\underline{b}} = J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_2) P_{\underline{b}} - (\xi_1^{\underline{a}} - \xi_2^{\underline{a}}) P^2 + (\xi_1^{\underline{b}} - \xi_2^{\underline{b}}) P_{\underline{b}} P^{\underline{a}}; \quad (12)$$

so that one can always pick a $\xi_1^{\underline{a}}$ so that

$$J^{\underline{ab}}(\xi_1) P_{\underline{b}} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Using a rest frame, in which the generator of time translations is aligned with the total momentum $P^{\underline{a}}$; in other words, its spacelike components are zero; i.e., $P^i = 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$; one has $J^{i0}(\xi_1) = 0$ and so

$$\int_{\Sigma} (x^{\underline{i}} - \xi_1^{\underline{i}}) T^{00} \epsilon_{\Sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} (x^0 - \xi_1^0) T^{i0} \epsilon_{\Sigma} = (x^0 - \xi_1^0) P^i = 0; \quad (14)$$

and therefore one arrives at the center of mass coordinates

$$\xi_{\text{cm}}^{\underline{i}} = \frac{1}{M} \int_{\Sigma} x^{\underline{i}} T^{00} \epsilon_{\Sigma}; \quad (15)$$

where now Σ is an adapted hypersurface $x^0 = \text{constant}$, ϵ_{Σ} is the volume element, and we have used $P^0 = M$ in the rest frame. For this reason condition (13) is used[16] for defining *center of mass* in special relativity. Then all other points that are translated parallel to P are also center of mass points; which means that the center of mass world line has velocity $v = \frac{P}{M}$.

Now, let us consider the case in which the world tube gets smaller until it collapses to the timelike world line z . In this case $x^{\underline{i}}$ is compelled to be evaluated at the world line; and since $P^0 = M$, one has that the center of mass $\xi_{\text{cm}}^{\underline{i}}$ is at the world line z .

B. The intrinsic angular momentum

The *intrinsic angular momentum* $S_{\underline{ab}}$ is defined as the angular momentum evaluated at the center of mass, namely:

$$S_{\underline{ab}} = J_{\underline{ab}}(\xi_{\text{cm}}). \quad (16)$$

Since any contraction of $S_{\underline{ab}}$ with $P^{\underline{a}}$ gives zero, there are only three degrees of freedom involved in the intrinsic angular momentum; so that, similarly as the magnetic field is defined in terms of the electromagnetic tensor and the volume element, one defines the spin vector from

$$S_{\underline{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\underline{abcd}} J^{\underline{bc}}(\text{any } \xi) P^{\underline{d}}; \quad (17)$$

which by construction is orthogonal to $P^{\underline{a}}$, and so it only involves three degrees of freedom. This is also known as the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector.

In (17) we have emphasized the fact that the spin vector can be calculated with respect to any choice of reference center ξ ; since the contraction with the momentum vector eliminates this dependence.

Then one can think in reconstructing the complete angular momentum tensor from the spin vector. Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\underline{abcd}} S_{\underline{c}} P_{\underline{d}} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\underline{abcd}} P_{\underline{d}} \epsilon_{\underline{c} \underline{e} \underline{f} \underline{g}} J^{\underline{e} \underline{f}} P^{\underline{g}} \\ &= - (M^2 J^{\underline{ab}} + P^{\underline{a}} J^{\underline{bd}} P_{\underline{d}} - P^{\underline{b}} J^{\underline{ad}} P_{\underline{d}}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

One can see then that the intrinsic angular momentum tensor, in terms of the spin vector, is given by

$$S^{\underline{ab}} = \frac{1}{M^2} \epsilon^{\underline{abcd}} P_{\underline{c}} S_{\underline{d}}. \quad (19)$$

Although we have previously introduced first the concept of center of mass and then the one of intrinsic angular momentum; this last equation allows us to define the center of mass, in terms of the intrinsic angular momentum, in the following way:

- Let us first define the intrinsic spin vector S^a by:

$$S_a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} J^{bc}(\text{any } \xi) P^d. \quad (17)$$

- Then let us define the intrinsic angular momentum tensor S^{ab} from:

$$S^{ab} = \frac{1}{M^2} \epsilon^{abcd} P_c S_d. \quad (19)$$

Let us emphasize that this definition only employs intrinsic properties of the system, i.e.; it does not recourse to any additional structure.

- Finally the center of mass points are defined as those that satisfy:

$$S_{ab} = J_{ab}(\xi_{\text{cm}}). \quad (16)$$

We conclude then that there is a one to one relation between the concept of *center of mass* and *intrinsic angular momentum*.

Then the total angular momentum with respect to an arbitrary center ξ can be expressed in terms of the intrinsic angular momentum and the center of mass by:

$$J^{ab}(\xi) = S^{ab} + P^a (\xi^b - \xi_{\text{cm}}^b) - P^b (\xi^a - \xi_{\text{cm}}^a). \quad (20)$$

One can see that the spin vector S^a is also conserved along the world line.

It is also clear from this analysis that *elementary particles* can have momentum and spin but not dipole; as it has been frequently assumed in the literature. By dipole we precisely mean $d^a = S^{ab} P_b$.

We have not considered here the case of electrically charged particles since the energy momentum tensor we have considered are of finite space support.

Of course one could consider *composite particles* which have extra structure, beyond momentum and spin; and then one is free to include the extra dynamics for the extra degrees of freedom, compatible with the conservation of the energy momentum tensor.

C. Particles as compact objects in curved spacetimes

One can use the concept of particle when the dimension of the object and its structure is such that only the monopole nature is enough to describe its dynamics. In the language of Mathisson[17, 18], the *dynamical skeleton* is described by a monopole.

Let us assume that in a neighborhood of the timelike curve C we have at our disposal coordinates x^a , so that the curve is given by $x^a = z^a(\tau)$, with $\frac{dz^0}{d\tau} > 0$. At each point along the curve C we can express a 3-dimensional delta function $\delta^{(3)}$, in terms of local coordinates, with support on C by

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^{(3)}((x^1 - z^1(\tau)), (x^2 - z^2(\tau)), (x^3 - z^3(\tau))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{dz^0}{d\tau} \sqrt{-\det g}} \delta(x^1 - z^1(\tau)) \delta(x^2 - z^2(\tau)) \delta(x^3 - z^3(\tau)); \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\det g$ is the determinant of the four metric.

Then, an energy momentum tensor for a monopole particle with support on a timelike worldline C is represented[5, 6] by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}(x^0 = z^0(\tau), x^1, x^2, x^3) &= M v_a(\tau) v_b(\tau) \\ & \frac{1}{\frac{dz^0}{d\tau} \sqrt{-\det g}} \delta(x^1 - z^1(\tau)) \delta(x^2 - z^2(\tau)) \delta(x^3 - z^3(\tau));. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Below we will specify further the expression that is used in this work.

In the following sections we present the construction of the model.

III. LOCAL DYNAMICS AT ZERO ORDER IN BACK REACTION

We assign a label A to the particle under consideration.

Along this work, we will assume that the corresponding field equation is such that there exists an exact metric of the spacetime of the form

$$g = \eta + h_A + h_B; \quad (23)$$

where η is a flat metric, h_A is proportional to a parameter M_A , that one can think is some kind of measure of the mass of system A , similarly h_B is proportional to a parameter M_B .

We study in detail the asymptotic structure of the sub-metric

$$g_A = \eta + h_A; \quad (24)$$

by disregarding the effects of the complementary system B , and assumed to be the linear solution of the field equations for particle A .

The geometry g_A , which models our compact object, has a dynamics that is affected by the existence of system B , and therefore by the geometry determined by the sub-metric

$$g_B = \eta + h_B. \quad (25)$$

The appropriate choice of η will be discussed later; but the basic idea is that it should be related to a local notion of center of mass frame.

Although we will be studying the dynamics of system A , to simplify the notation we will avoid using a subindex A , whenever possible.

Furthermore, the metric g is assumed to be asymptotically flat at future null infinity; so that one can discuss the effects of gravitational radiation, and the metric can also be expressed as shown below in (34).

The expression for the momentum of a particle can be deduced from the conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor.

The limit in which one considers particle A to be ‘small’ enough so that the radiation effects can be neglected, gives rise to the zero order in λ approximation;

where λ is a parameter associated to the strength of gravitational radiation, that will be clarified below.

The zero order in λ equation of motion for particle A comes from the zero order conservation equation for the energy momentum tensor of this particle; namely

$$\nabla_{(B)a} T_{(A)}^{ab} = 0. \quad (26)$$

This zero order equation of motion is considered in terms of an energy-momentum which is a distribution on the background geometry $g_{(B)}$. Then, at this order, for the energy momentum tensor of a monopole body A , one must consider

$$T_{(A)ab}(x^0 = z^0(\tau), x^1, x^2, x^3) = M_A v_{(A)a}(\tau) v_{(A)b}(\tau) \frac{1}{\frac{dz^0}{d\tau} \sqrt{-\det g_{(B)}}} \delta(x^1 - z^1(\tau)) \delta(x^2 - z^2(\tau)) \delta(x^3 - z^3(\tau)); \quad (27)$$

where $\det g_{(B)}$ is the determinant of the metric $g_{(B)}$ and (x^1, x^2, x^3) are local spacelike coordinates.

Consider a small world tube around the world line of the particle. Let $e_{\underline{c}}^b$ be a frame basis (an orthonormal frame with respect to metric $g_{(B)}$); where, as before, we are distinguishing between the abstract indices $(a, b, c, \dots)$ and the numeric frame indices $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}, \dots)$ and let $\theta_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{c}}$ be its coframe. Then one can integrate, in the interior of the world tube, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \nabla_{(B)}^a (T_{(A)ab}) e_{\underline{c}}^b dV^4 \\ &= \int \nabla_{(B)}^a (T_{(A)ab} e_{\underline{c}}^b) dV^4 - \int T_{(A)ab} \nabla_{(B)}^a (e_{\underline{c}}^b) dV^4 \\ &= \int T_{(A)ab} e_{\underline{c}}^b \mathfrak{t}_2^a dV_2^3 - \int T_{(A)ab} e_{\underline{c}}^b \mathfrak{t}_1^a dV_1^3 - \\ &\quad \int T_{(A)}^{\underline{a}}{}_{\underline{b}} \Gamma_{(B)\underline{a}\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} e_{\underline{b}}^b dV^4; \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\mathfrak{t}_2^a$ is the vector gradient at the future boundary, $\mathfrak{t}_1^a$ is the corresponding vector at the past boundary, of an adapted time coordinate $t = x^0$, namely $\mathfrak{t}^a = g_{(B)}^{ab} dt_b$, and $\Gamma_{(B)\underline{a}\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}}$ is the torsion free metric connection of $g_{(B)}$.

We can always reparameterize the local time t , to adapt it to this geometric construction, so that the future boundary coincide with $t + d\tau$ and the past boundary coincide with t ; where $d\tau$ is the increment in proper time along the curve C , between the two intersection points of the curve with the future and past boundary hypersurfaces of the world tube. Then carrying out the integration on the right hand side, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &M_A g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, e_{\underline{c}}) g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, \mathfrak{t})|_{t+d\tau} \\ &- M_A g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, e_{\underline{c}}) g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, \mathfrak{t})|_t \\ &- M_A v_{(A)}^{\underline{a}} v_{(A)}^{\underline{d}} g_{(B)b\underline{d}} \Gamma_{(B)\underline{a}\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} e_{\underline{b}}^b d\tau; \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where all the calculation is done with respect to the background metric $g_{(B)}$. Let us note that, from the choice of t , we have $g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, \mathfrak{t}) = v_{(A)}(t) = 1$.

Then, the local notion of momentum is obtained, from

$$P_{(A)\underline{c}} = M_A g_{(B)}(v_{(A)}, e_{\underline{c}}); \quad (30)$$

so that one has

$$0 = P_{(A)\underline{c}}|_{\tau+d\tau} - P_{(A)\underline{c}}|_{\tau} - M_A v_{(A)}^{\underline{a}} v_{(A)}^{\underline{d}} g_{(B)b\underline{d}} \Gamma_{(B)\underline{a}\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} e_{\underline{b}}^b d\tau. \quad (31)$$

Dividing by $d\tau$ and taking the limit to zero, the first two terms constitute the total derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} P_{(A)\underline{c}} &= v_{(A)}^e \nabla_{(B)e} P_{(A)\underline{c}} \\ &= M_A g_{(B)ab} \left(v_{(A)}^e \nabla_{(B)e} (v_{(A)}^a) e_{\underline{c}}^b \right. \\ &\quad \left. + v_{(A)}^a v_{(A)}^{\underline{d}} \Gamma_{(B)\underline{a}\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} e_{\underline{b}}^b \right); \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where in the first equality we are using that the vector $v_{(A)}^e$ has unit modulus with respect to $g_{(B)}$. Then subtracting the last term of (31) one obtains, from (28), at zero order in back reaction due to gravitational radiation, the well known equation

$$M_A g_{(B)ab} \left(v_{(A)}^e \nabla_{(B)e} (v_{(A)}^a) e_{\underline{c}}^b \right) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Our goal is to study the correction to this zero order equation coming from back reaction effects due to gravitational radiation.

In order to make a connection with the asymptotic discussion we identify the basis field vectors $e_{\underline{c}}^b$ with four translational Killing vectors of the background metric in the asymptotic region.

IV. GENERAL ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT SPACETIMES

A. Particles as isolated objects in curved spacetimes

When considering a compact object as isolated, in the framework of isolated spacetimes, one realizes that in general one can ascribe a flat background to the spacetime. More concretely, in the asymptotic region one can always write the metric as

$$g = \eta_{\text{asy}} + h_{\text{asy}}; \quad (34)$$

where η_{asy} is a flat metric associated to inertial frames in the asymptotic region and h_{asy} the tensor where all the physical information is encoded.

In reference [19] we have indicated how to construct a family of inertial Bondi frames in a neighborhood of future null infinity. They include the determination of a null tetrad and associated null coordinate system in the asymptotic region. For each choice of inertial frame, the metric adopts a decomposition of the form (34). But, the warning is that there are as many inertial frames as there are proper supertranslation generators of the asymptotic BMS[20] symmetry group. Therefore, there

are as many flat metrics η_{asy} as there are proper supertranslation generators. This is the reason why this asymptotic region is not asymptotically Minkowskian; since there are too many flat background geometries. By asymptotically Minkowskian we mean a spacetime which in the asymptotic region is decomposed in terms of a unique flat metric.

Also, one knows that the difficulties in finding appropriate rest frames comes from the existence of gravitational radiation[21–23]. Although in the past we have found a way to select rest frames based on the notion of center of mass and intrinsic angular momentum[24, 25]. In other words, for each point at future null infinity, we have a way to single out a unique decomposition of the metric in the form (34), with an appropriately selected flat background.

This complicated structure of rest frames in the asymptotic region and its subtle relation with corresponding symmetries of flat backgrounds in the interior has normally been neglected in works dealing with equation of motion of particles in relativistic theories; on the contrary we choose to take into account these effects at the beginning for the discussion of back reaction on the motion of compact objects.

B. The leading order behaviour of an adapted null tetrad

Let now u denotes a null hypersurface that contains future directed null geodesics that reach future null infinity.

One can express the asymptotic geometry in terms of a complex null tetrad $(\ell^a, m^a, \bar{m}^a, n^a)$ with the properties:

$$g_{ab} \ell^a n^b = -g_{ab} m^a \bar{m}^b = 1, \quad (35)$$

and all other possible scalar products being zero; so that the metric can be expressed by

$$g_{ab} = \ell_a n_b + n_a \ell_b - m_a \bar{m}_b - \bar{m}_a m_b. \quad (36)$$

Here, and whenever dealing with null tetrads we will make use of the GHP[26] notation.

Using the null polar coordinate system $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (u, r, x^2, x^3)$ one can express the null tetrad as:

$$\ell_a = (du)_a \quad (37)$$

$$\ell^a = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a \quad (38)$$

$$m^a = \xi^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^a \quad (39)$$

$$\bar{m}^a = \bar{\xi}^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^a \quad (40)$$

$$n^a = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^a + U \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a + X^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^a \quad (41)$$

with $i = 2, 3$.

C. The total momentum

Given any section S at future null infinity, the total momentum of a generic spacetime, in terms of an inertial (Bondi) frame[19], is normally given[27] by

$$\mathcal{P}^\nu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\nu (\Psi_2^0 + \sigma_0 \dot{\sigma}_0) dS^2, \quad (42)$$

where the auxiliary null vector $\hat{l}_0(x^2, x^3)$, is defined in terms of the angular coordinates (x^2, x^3) , by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{l}_0^\nu(x^2, x^3) &\equiv \left(1, \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi), \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi), \cos(\theta) \right) \\ &= \left(1, \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta}}, \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{i(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})}, \frac{\zeta \bar{\zeta} - 1}{1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta}} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and we are using either the standard sphere angular coordinates (θ, ϕ) or the complex angular coordinates $\zeta = \frac{\hat{x}^2 + i\hat{x}^3}{2}$, where $(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ are complex stereographic coordinates of the sphere; which are related to the standard coordinates by $\zeta = e^{i\phi} \cot(\frac{\theta}{2})$; and here a dot means $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$; i.e. the partial derivative with respect to the inertial asymptotic time $\tilde{u}$, Ψ_2^0 is a component of the Weyl tensor in the GHP notation and σ_0 is the leading order behavior of the spin coefficient σ in terms of the asymptotic coordinate $\hat{r}$. So the set of intrinsic inertial coordinates at future null infinity are $(\tilde{u}, \theta, \phi)$ or $(\tilde{u}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$.

D. The total momentum and flux from charge integrals

The total momentum for the monopole particle can be calculated using the charge integral of the Riemann tensor technique. In this subsection we will use the notation of reference [24].

Let us note that for any 2-form w defined on a sphere S , one can define the charge integral[24] obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} Q_S(w) &= 4 \int [-\tilde{w}_2(\Psi_1 - \Phi_{10}) + 2\tilde{w}_1(\Psi_2 - \Phi_{11} - \Lambda) \\ &\quad - \tilde{w}_0(\Psi_3 - \Phi_{21})] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2)$ are the components of w_{AB} with respect to the dyad adapted to S , namely for which the vectors m and $\bar{m}$ are tangent to S and ℓ is outgoing, and dS^2 is the area element induced from the spacetime metric. If w has a regular extension to future null infinity, denoted by $\mathcal{I}^+$, we can take the limit of S to a section of $\mathcal{I}^+$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} Q_S(w) &= 4 \int [-w_2 \Psi_1^0 + 2w_1 \Psi_2^0 - w_0 \Psi_3^0] dS^2 \\ &\quad + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where the upper-script 0 denotes the leading order term expansion of the Weyl spinor components. The charge

integral at $\mathcal{I}^+$ turns out to be independent of the Ricci tensor since as we have shown in [13] for any asymptotically flat spacetime, the components of the Ricci tensor go to zero faster than the accompanying Weyl components in the above terms.

The motivation for these charges, is that they reproduced the known charges in the case of linearized Einstein's field equations. In the following, we assume that independent of the exact nature of the final field equations, they reduce in the linear regime to the same equations as those obtained starting from Einstein's equations. Using the equations of reference [26] one can obtain expressions for the asymptotic fields with respect to an inertial reference frame: the radiation field is given by

$$\Psi_3 = \frac{\partial_0 \sigma'_0}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad (46)$$

while the relation between the leading order behavior of the shear and primed shear is

$$\dot{\sigma}_0 = -\bar{\sigma}'_0. \quad (47)$$

The time derivative of the leading order behavior of Ψ_2 is

$$\dot{\Psi}_2^0 = \bar{\partial}_0 \Psi_3^0 + \sigma_0 \Psi_4, \quad (48)$$

and the leading order behavior of the radiation component is

$$\Psi_4^0 = \dot{\sigma}'_0. \quad (49)$$

In the standard presentation, in terms of an inertial frame, one has $\Psi_3^0 = -\bar{\partial} \dot{\sigma}_0$, and using equation (38) of [24] one can write the charge integral as

$$Q_S(w) = 4 \int [-w_2 \Psi_1^0 + 2w_1 (\Psi_2^0 + \sigma_0 \dot{\sigma}_0)] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \quad (50)$$

We would rather like to emphasize the natural appearance of the σ'_0 field in these equations, instead of the normally used σ_0 ; so that we express:

$$Q_S(w) = 4 \int [-w_2 \Psi_1^0 + 2w_1 (\Psi_2^0 - \sigma_0 \sigma'_0)] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \quad (51)$$

In the calculation for the flux of the momentum one takes $w_2 = 0$, and obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q}_S(w) &= 4 \int [2w_1 (\dot{\Psi}_2^0 - \dot{\sigma}_0 \sigma'_0 - \sigma_0 \dot{\sigma}'_0)] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \\ &= 4 \int [2w_1 (\bar{\partial}_0 \Psi_3^0 + \sigma_0 \Psi_4 - \dot{\sigma}_0 \sigma'_0 - \sigma_0 \dot{\sigma}'_0)] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \\ &= 4 \int [2w_1 (\bar{\sigma}'_0 \sigma'_0)] dS^2 + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where the time derivative is with respect of an inertial time. We conclude that the natural way to encode the

radiation flux of momentum is in terms of the $(\sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0)$ factor.

The final form of this radiation field σ'_0 will depend on the particular gauge one uses for the calculation; however we advance here that this field is gauge invariant in the inertial harmonic frame discussion, as we will show in a separate article. Instead, the field σ_0 depends on natural new freedoms that appear in the harmonic gauge treatment.

It follows that the time variation of the total momentum, of a generic spacetime, can be expressed by

$$\dot{P}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0 dS^2 \equiv -\mathcal{F}^\mu; \quad (53)$$

that is, $\mathcal{F}^\mu$ is the total momentum flux.

Since in the dynamics of a particle one normally makes use of a notion of proper time; it is natural to express the dynamical equations in terms of this frame independent time parameter. This proper time will be related with a corresponding time variable at future null infinity. Let $u = \text{constant}$ represent a general time coordinate and set of sections such that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} = \tilde{V}(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) > 0, \quad (54)$$

is the time derivative of the inertial time $\tilde{u}$ with respect to the non-inertial time u ; so that we can use the inertial coordinates or the non-inertial coordinates $(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. This means that given the initial section S one can define a new consecutive section S' so that both sections are defined by the condition $u = \text{constant}$.

Let us remark that the total momentum can be expressed in terms of the supermomentum M

$$\Psi_M = \Psi_2^0 - \sigma_0 \sigma'_0 + \bar{\partial}^2 \bar{\sigma}_0, \quad (55)$$

that we have presented elsewhere[21]; so that the momentum is:

$$\mathcal{P}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu \Psi_M dS^2; \quad (56)$$

where S is a general section at future null infinity. An important property of the supermomentum M is the transformation law under BMS transformations, namely[22]

$$\tilde{\Psi}_M = \frac{1}{K^3} (\Psi_M - \bar{\partial}^2 \bar{\partial}^2 \gamma); \quad (57)$$

where K represents here the Lorentz factor, and γ the supertranslation. From this it can be easily deduced that, a supertranslation does not affect the calculation of the total momentum. Alternatively, if one uses a section that does not coincide with a section $\tilde{u} = \text{constant}$, then one can still use expression (56) to calculate the momentum.

The time variation of the total momentum with respect to the inertial time can be expressed simply in terms of the time variation of this supermomentum, since

$$\dot{\Psi}_M = \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0. \quad (58)$$

Then when evaluating the time variation of the momentum with respect to the non-inertial time variable u , one needs to consider

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_M}{\partial u} = \tilde{V} \frac{\partial \Psi_M}{\partial \tilde{u}} = \tilde{V} \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0; \quad (59)$$

so that

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}^\mu}{du} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu \tilde{V} \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0 dS^2 = -\mathcal{F}_V^\mu; \quad (60)$$

where now $\mathcal{F}_V^\mu$ is the instantaneous momentum flux with respect to the dynamical time u , and S is defined by the $u = \text{constant}$ condition.

This means that even when considering non-inertial times, one only needs to calculate the inertial primed shear to evaluate the flux of gravitational radiation.

E. Expansion of a radiating spacetime in terms of the strength of the radiation (The λ expansion)

One important aspect of the gravitational field is that for many interesting astrophysical systems the gravitational energy radiated is weak. Also most of the difficulties that arise at future null infinity in the construction of appropriate rest reference frames[22] come from the presence of gravitational radiation. It is therefore tempting to expand the structure equations of an asymptotically flat spacetime in terms of the strength of the gravitational radiation.

We will use the smallness parameter λ and assign to the momentum flux the order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$.

Every field at $\mathcal{I}^+$ can be expanded as a series with different powers of λ ; that is for a field $f(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ we will write

$$f(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = f_0(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \lambda f_1(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \lambda^2 f_2(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3).$$

The idea is to carry out this expansion on the ‘center of mass frame’ defined in terms of ‘nice sections’[21].

For a general asymptotically flat spacetime[13] we will define the order λ by assigning σ'_0 to be of order λ , that is:

$$\sigma'_0 \equiv \mathcal{O}(\lambda); \quad (61)$$

where σ'_0 is the leading order behavior of the GHP spin coefficient σ' , in an asymptotic null inertial frame.

V. RELATION BETWEEN NULL TETRADS OF THE FLAT AND CURVED GEOMETRIES

In this section we will study the relation of the different tetrads that appear when one considers the decomposition of the metric g with respect to diverse backgrounds.

A. The general case

For each decomposition of the metric of the form

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + h_{ab}; \quad (62)$$

we have at our disposal two families of null tetrads; one for each of the metrics.

Let us call $e_{1,2,3,4} = (l, n, m, \bar{m})$ a null tetrad of g and $\mathbf{e}_{1,2,3,4} = (\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{m}})$ a null tetrad of η . Since both metrics have the same asymptotic future null infinity we can take those tetrads so that they agree asymptotically. But one should have in mind that the flat metric η that is used in the interior of the spacetime, need not agree with an asymptotic inertial flat metric at future null infinity. Let us use G for the gravitational constant; then, h is order $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and one can express

$$e_{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}} + G d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{b}}. \quad (63)$$

Then, taking all the contractions with the metric, up to second order, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} g(e_{\underline{a}}, e_{\underline{b}}) &= \eta_{ab} \\ &= \eta_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} + G d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{b}\underline{c}} + G d_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{a}\underline{c}} + G^2 d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} d_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{d}} \eta_{\underline{c}\underline{d}} \\ &\quad + h_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} + G d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} h_{\underline{b}\underline{c}} + G d_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{c}} h_{\underline{a}\underline{c}} + G^2 d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} d_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{d}} h_{\underline{c}\underline{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Let us use $j_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}}$ to denote minus half the antisymmetric part of $d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}}$; namely, $j_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} \equiv j_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{b}\underline{c}} = -j_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{a}\underline{c}}$; the one has

$$G d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{b}\underline{c}} = -\frac{1}{2} h_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} - \frac{1}{2} j_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} \eta_{\underline{b}\underline{c}} + \mathcal{O}(G^2); \quad (65)$$

or alternatively

$$G d_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} = -\frac{1}{2} h_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} \eta^{\underline{b}\underline{c}} - \frac{1}{2} j_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} + \mathcal{O}(G^2). \quad (66)$$

For the first basis vector, one has

$$\begin{aligned} l = e_1 &= \mathbf{e}_1 + G d_1^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{b}} = \mathbf{e}_1 - \frac{1}{2} (h_{1\underline{b}} + j_{1\underline{b}}) \eta^{\underline{b}\underline{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{c}} \\ &= \mathbf{l} - \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{l\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + h_{l\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - h_{l\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - h_{l\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(j_{l\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + j_{l\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - j_{l\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - j_{l\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

And similarly for the other null tetrad vectors one also has:

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \mathbf{n} - \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{n\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + h_{n\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - h_{n\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - h_{n\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(j_{n\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + j_{n\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - j_{n\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - j_{n\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \mathbf{m} - \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{m\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + h_{m\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - h_{m\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - h_{m\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(j_{m\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + j_{m\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - j_{m\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - j_{m\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{m} &= \bar{\mathbf{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{\bar{m}\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + h_{\bar{m}\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - h_{\bar{m}\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - h_{\bar{m}\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(j_{\bar{m}\underline{l}} \mathbf{n} + j_{\bar{m}\underline{n}} \mathbf{l} - j_{\bar{m}\underline{m}} \bar{\mathbf{m}} - j_{\bar{m}\underline{\bar{m}}} \mathbf{m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

It can be seen that this can easily be extended to second order by generalizing (63) to

$$e_{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}} + G d_{(1)\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{b}} + G^2 d_{(2)\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{b}} + \mathcal{O}(G^3). \quad (71)$$

We could also define the co-basis $f^{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathbf{f}^{\underline{a}}$ from

$$f_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{a}} \equiv \eta^{\underline{ab}} e_{\underline{b}}^c g_{ca}, \quad (72)$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{a}} \equiv \eta^{\underline{ab}} e_{\underline{b}}^c \eta_{ca}. \quad (73)$$

In terms of the co-basis notation one would express the departure from the flat basis in terms of the quantity $\Delta f_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ given by

$$f^{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{f}^{\underline{a}} + \Delta f_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} \mathbf{f}^{\underline{b}}. \quad (74)$$

Then in first order one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} &= e_{\underline{a}} f^{\underline{b}} \\ &= (\mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}} + G d_{(1)\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{c}}) (\mathbf{f}^{\underline{b}} + \Delta f_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{f}^{\underline{d}}) \\ &= \delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} + G d_{(1)\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} + \Delta f_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{b}}; \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

which means that

$$f^{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{f}^{\underline{a}} - G d_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} \mathbf{f}^{\underline{b}}; \quad (76)$$

and also note that $f^{1,2,3,4} = (n, l, -\bar{m}, -m)$; where we are omitting the abstract index denoting the one-form character of the expressions.

We are using the implicit notation in which, with the exception of the expressions $e_{\underline{a}}$, the indices $\underline{a}$ refer to components with respect to the tetrad vectors $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}}$.

Then, one could also work with this co-basis for the calculations; but we will continue with the vectorial representation.

B. Relation with the asymptotic structure

In the study of asymptotically flat spacetimes one normally recurs to the conformal techniques so that the conformal metric

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}, \quad (77)$$

is regular at future null infinity. One can then define the regular tetrad at infinity given by [19]

$$\hat{l}^a = \Omega^{-2} l^a \quad (78)$$

$$\hat{m}^a = \Omega^{-1} m^a \quad (79)$$

$$\hat{n}^a = n^a. \quad (80)$$

The asymptotic structure provides several ways in which one can decompose the asymptotic metric in terms of a flat background η_{asy} and a deviation term h_{asy} ; so that

$$g = \eta_{\text{asy}} + h_{\text{asy}}. \quad (34)$$

Let us assume for a moment that we have one such decomposition.

For those decompositions, one can also define

$$\tilde{\eta}_{ab} = \Omega^2 \eta_{ab}; \quad (81)$$

which must coincide asymptotically with $\tilde{g}_{ab}$. In other words, the components of $\Omega^2 h_{ab}$ in terms of any regular tetrad must go to zero like Ω . Equivalently we may express

$$\Omega^2 h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)\hat{a}\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{r^2} h_{(1)\hat{a}\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{r^3} h_{(2)\hat{a}\hat{b}} + \dots \quad (82)$$

where the indices $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \dots$ refer to a regular tetrad components and r is an appropriate asymptotic radial coordinate.

Some specific tetrad components are:

$$\Omega^2 h_{\hat{l}\hat{l}} = \Omega^{-2} h_{ll} = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)\hat{l}\hat{l}} + \dots = \Omega^{-4} \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)ll} + \dots, \quad (83)$$

$$\Omega^2 h_{\hat{l}\hat{m}} = \Omega^{-1} h_{lm} = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)\hat{l}\hat{m}} + \dots = \Omega^{-3} \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)lm} + \dots, \quad (84)$$

$$\Omega^2 h_{\hat{m}\hat{m}} = h_{mm} = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)\hat{m}\hat{m}} + \dots = \Omega^{-2} \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)mm} + \dots, \quad (85)$$

$$\Omega^2 h_{\hat{n}\hat{n}} = \Omega^2 h_{nn} = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)\hat{n}\hat{n}} + \dots = \frac{1}{r} h_{(0)nn} + \dots; \quad (86)$$

from which we can see that the most asymptotically divergent tetrad component is h_{nn} which could grow as Ω^{-1} . This means that we could also express

$$\Omega^2 h_{\underline{ab}} = \frac{1}{r} h_{[0]\underline{ab}} + \frac{1}{r^2} h_{[1]\underline{ab}} + \frac{1}{r^3} h_{[2]\underline{ab}} + \dots \quad (87)$$

where the indices $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \dots$ refer to a standard tetrad components. The relations (78)-(80) provide with the transformations between the components $h_{(i)\hat{a}\hat{b}}$ and the components $h_{[j]\underline{cd}}$.

When considering the case of Einstein equations of general relativity, the natural question arises: Do the solutions to the linear problem in standard gauges have this natural asymptotic decomposition? One can check by direct calculation, of the Schwarzschild solution in the harmonic gauge that, for example, the component

$$h_{ll} = -\frac{4M_A}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right); \quad (88)$$

which is even true for the Schwarzschild solution in the standard coordinate system; where in both cases we take the vector l to be $l = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, in terms of their intrinsic spherical coordinates. Therefore we conclude that the answer is negative; and so we do not expect all of the above relations, for the asymptotic behaviour of the null tetrad components of the solution h , to apply.

However, the Schwarzschild solution is asymptotically flat; so one could still use the assignment for the first order null tetrad, based on the given null tetrad of the flat background metric associated to the solution h , as given previously, to calculate all of the asymptotic quantities, including radiation field and curvature components.

C. Relation between the interior flat metric and the asymptotic flat metrics

It is also important to remark that in having a decomposition in the interior of the form

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + h_{ab}; \quad (62)$$

in which the flat metric η_{ab} does not belong to the family of adapted asymptotic flat metrics η_{asy} ; when one considers two points in the spacetime $(\mathcal{M}_\eta, \eta_{ab})$, the two future directed null cones define two sections at future null infinity that it will be related by a BMS translation at $\mathcal{S}_\eta^+$, of the spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \eta_{ab})$; but it will not coincide in general with a BMS translation at future null infinity $\mathcal{S}_g^+$, of the original spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$. Instead, these two sections will be related by a supertranslation.

It is because of this reason that an inertial (Bondi) system with respect to the spacetime structure $(\mathcal{M}_\eta, \eta_{ab})$ might not coincide in general with inertial systems of the spacetime structure $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$. More concretely, sections $\tilde{u} = \text{constant}$ of a inertial system of $(\mathcal{M}, \eta_{ab})$, at the boundary manifold $\mathcal{S}^+$, will be defined by a family $\alpha(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, in terms of a inertial system of the asymptotic structure of the spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$.

In the construction of a model for compact objects in metric gravitational theories one is normally forced to relate a choice of an interior flat metric η with an asymptotic flat metric η_{asy} ; so the question is: how does one choose the interior flat metric and the asymptotic flat metric? since the choice seems to be crucial for the construction of the model. The answer is related to the general objective of describing the dynamical evolution of the physical quantities of the system. In the interior of the spacetime, we have review in section II A the basic concepts of total momentum and total angular momentum; which in turn comes along with the concept of center of mass. At future null infinity we have constructed in references [24] and [25] the two definitions of intrinsic angular momentum in general relativity which do not suffer from supertranslation ambiguities. These definitions of intrinsic angular momentum come along with the corresponding definition of center of mass frame at future null infinity. Using these center of mass frames, we can select, at each observing asymptotic point p a unique inertial asymptotic flat metric η_{asy} .

Since the model for compact objects will intend to relate the value of physical quantities in the interior with value of physical quantities in the asymptotic region, one should use in both regimes the frames which are best related to the *center of mass frames*. For this reason we next define an asymptotic flat metric coming from the definition of center of mass at future null infinity.

D. The asymptotic center of mass flat metric

We can use the definition of center of mass at future null infinity to define a new preferred flat asymptotic background using the invariance of the translations under BMS[20] transformations. Let us see this in detail.

The set of center of mass sections[24, 25] at future null infinity, can be used to define a retarded null coordinate u_{cm} . Let denote with S_{cm} , the non-intersecting[22], one parameter family of center of mass sections as described in [24] or [25], then, the null congruence of null geodesics reaching S_{cm} orthogonally form a null hypersurface. We define u_{cm} to be the null function that is constant on these null hypersurfaces and which increment adjusts to the time generator $t^a = \frac{\mathcal{P}^a}{M}$, where $\mathcal{P}^a$ is the total momentum and M the total mass. We can refer this retarded null coordinate to the retarded null coordinate $\tilde{u}$ of an asymptotic inertial frame with:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u_{\text{cm}}} = V_{\text{cm}}(u_{\text{cm}}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) > 0, \quad (89)$$

where the time derivative of the inertial (Bondi) time $\tilde{u}$ with respect to the non-inertial center of mass retarded null time u_{cm} is expressed in terms of the scalar $V_{\text{cm}}(u_{\text{cm}}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$.

If one makes a decomposition of the scalar $V_{\text{cm}}(u_{\text{cm}}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ in terms of spherical harmonics; the first four terms, with $l = 0, 1$, have the information of an infinitesimal translation, when the coordinate u_{cm} is incremented. But this information, the infinitesimal translations, is invariant under actions of the BMS group up to order λ . This means that we can use this information to define a *center of mass asymptotic flat background*. Let us define V_I as the projection of the scalar $V_{\text{cm}}(u_{\text{cm}}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ to the subspace of functions with spherical harmonic expansion only involving the $l = 0, 1$ terms. Then, the flat metric η_{cm} defined from the line element:

$$ds^2 = (1 - 2r \frac{\dot{V}_I}{V_I}) du_{\text{cm}}^2 + 2du_{\text{cm}} dr_{\text{cm}} - \frac{r_{\text{cm}}^2}{V_I^2} dS_0^2; \quad (90)$$

where $dS_0^2 = d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} / P_0^2$ is the metric of the unit sphere, and $\dot{V}_I = \frac{\partial V_I}{\partial u_{\text{cm}}}$; is invariant up to order λ under BMS actions. The natural definition of r_{cm} comes from the requirement to agree asymptotically with the luminosity distance.

Notice that this flat asymptotic metric η_{cm} can only coincide with an inertial (Bondi) asymptotic flat metric, in the case of absence of gravitational radiation. Its definition is dependent on the dynamical evolution of the spacetime.

Returning to the relation of frames in the interior with those of the asymptotic region; the use of the interior flat metric η depends on the particular gauge set up that is chosen, but normally all the physically useful variables are referred to the center of mass frame[28] available. Due to the complicated structure of the BMS asymptotic symmetry group, involving the infinite dimensional supertranslation freedom, one must associate the interior defined physical variables, with those defined in the asymptotic region which are free from supertranslation gauge problems. For this reason one should use physical variables defined with respect to the center of mass frame defined in the asymptotic region[24, 25], and should also relate the interior flat metric η with the unique asymptotic flat metric η_{cm} , presented above. Failing to make this relation of physical

variables, will involve unnoticed dependence on super-translation gauge issues, with unclear physical power of predictability and description[29].

E. The radiation fields in a linear spacetime

Here we consider the metric g_A , which is assumed to be asymptotically flat, and therefore it can be decomposed in the form (34). We will use the set of null tetrads described in subsection V A and study the radiation fields for large values of affine distance along future null directions of the flat background metric.

Our calculation of the shear gives:

$$\sigma_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_0(h_{0lm}) + \tilde{\sigma}_0(j_{0lm}) + h_{0mm}). \quad (91)$$

It must be emphasized that our result has an extra term involving the edth operator when compared with equation 46 of reference [30]. These computations have been checked with REDUCE.

We have indicated before that in these kind of approximations one should not focus on the concept of spin coefficient σ , but instead should consider as more representative of the radiation content the spin coefficient σ' . In particular, its asymptotic leading order behavior σ'_0 is independent of the gauge j_{ab} discussed above, and one always has

$$\sigma'_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h_{0\bar{m}\bar{m}}}{\partial \tilde{u}}; \quad (92)$$

and

$$\Psi_4^0 = \frac{\partial \sigma'_0}{\partial \tilde{u}}; \quad (93)$$

where $\tilde{u}$ is an asymptotic inertial null retarded coordinate.

These then are the two fields that codify the appearance of gravitational radiation.

VI. THE APPROPRIATE DYNAMICAL TIMES AND THE BALANCED EQUATION OF MOTION

In this section we review the main ideas and use them to build the general form of the balanced equation of motion.

Let us recall the metrics we have been using. We assume that there exists an exact metric of the spacetime of the form given in (23). We study in detail the asymptotic structure of the sub-metric (24) by disregarding the effects of the complementary system B . We adjust g_A to model a compact object, which dynamics is affected by the existence of system B , and therefore by the geometry determined by the sub-metric g_B , appearing in (25).

A. Form of the dynamical equations in the interior

The basic dynamics is based on the existence of a smooth curve $C(t)$ in the manifold, such that it is time-like with respect to the metrics η and g_B .

In analogous studies of the dynamics of charged particles in Minkowski spacetime, we have found[12] that new degrees of freedom might be expected. In that case, the dynamics could be presented in an equation of motion of the form

$$\mathcal{D}(v, \dot{v}, \ddot{v}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \mathcal{F}(x^\mu, v, \dot{v}); \quad (94)$$

where the α 's where new degrees of freedom, and with

$$g(v, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0. \quad (95)$$

In the gravitational case, the balanced equation of motion is constructed by correcting, in first order of gravitational radiation, the dynamics dictated by (33); where the new right hand side will have the information of the total radiated momentum. But observing the balanced equation (60) at future null infinity one can notice that the timelike component is not vanishing.

Therefore, in the interior, the balanced equation of motion must have (at least) the structure

$$M_A \left(v^a \nabla_{(B)a} v^b + w v^b \right) (u_{\text{int}}) = F^b(u_{\text{asy}}, v); \quad (96)$$

where w shows a new possible degree of freedom, and it is emphasized that the left hand side is expressed in terms of an interior notion of time u_{int} , that we will fix below, and the right hand side is expressed in terms of a corresponding asymptotic notion of dynamical time u_{asy} , and where F is minus the integrated flux of gravitational radiation on an asymptotic sphere; namely

$$F^\mu = -\mathcal{F}_V^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu \tilde{V} \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0 dS^2. \quad (97)$$

The final relation between u_{asy} and u_{int} will be settled in subsection VIB below.

In order to fix the interior dynamical time, let us consider the relation between two such parameterizations, Let u and t be two time parameters of the curve, and for the moment let us use $\mathbf{w} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$; then one has

$$\mathbf{w}^b = \frac{dt}{du} \mathbf{v}^b = \frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \mathbf{v}^b; \quad (98)$$

and one would have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^b \nabla_{(B)b} \mathbf{w}^a &= \frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \mathbf{v}^b \nabla_{(B)b} \frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \mathbf{v}^a \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \mathbf{v}^b \left(\frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \nabla_{(B)b} \mathbf{v}^a + \nabla_{(B)b} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{du}{dt}} \right) \mathbf{v}^a \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{dt} \right)^2} \mathbf{v}^b \nabla_{(B)b} \mathbf{v}^a - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{dt} \right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \mathbf{v}^a = f^a; \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Equation (99) can be interpreted in the following way: suppose that one is given equation (99) as the dynamical equation with force (per unit of mass) term f ; but that u is failing to be the precise local proper time, and t turns out to be the correct local proper time; whose relation with u is determined by

$$-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \mathbf{v}^a g_{(B)ab} \mathbf{v}^b = g_{(B)ab} \mathbf{v}^b f^a. \quad (100)$$

For a negative value of the right hand side one would have $\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \geq 0$; which would mean that t will grow slower than u .

Let us note that equation (99) is telling us that f is independent of linear transformations of the form $t' = \alpha t$, for constant α ; and therefore independent of the chosen value for $\left.\frac{du}{dt}\right|_p$; and that the non-trivial information gained in (99), is the value of $\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2}$; which is assumed not to vanish.

Although the natural dynamical time for the seed equation (33) is τ , for the actual numeric computation we can also use as parameterization the proper time τ_0 , with respect to the metric η . Let $\mathbf{v}$ and v be the corresponding tangent vectors to the proper times τ_0 and τ . Then the basic differential operators are $\mathbf{v}^b \partial_b \mathbf{v}^a$ or $v^b \nabla_{(B)b} v^a$; where ∂_b is the covariant derivative associated with the metric η .

Let us note that the two velocity vectors are proportional

$$v^b = \Upsilon \mathbf{v}^b. \quad (101)$$

So that one has

$$1 = g_B(v, v) = \Upsilon^2 g_B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = \Upsilon^2 (1 + h_B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})); \quad (102)$$

which gives Υ in terms of $\mathbf{v}$ and g_B .

Expressing the covariant derivative $\nabla_{(B)a}$ of g_B , in terms of the covariant derivative ∂_a of η , one has

$$\nabla_{(B)a} v^b = \partial_a v^b + \gamma_a^b{}^c v^c; \quad (103)$$

and using the relation between the vectors v and $\mathbf{v}$ one also has

$$\begin{aligned} v^a \nabla_{(B)a} v^b &= \Upsilon \mathbf{v}^a \nabla_{(B)a} \Upsilon \mathbf{v}^b \\ &= \Upsilon \mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \Upsilon \mathbf{v}^b + \Upsilon^2 \gamma_a^b{}^c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c \\ &= \Upsilon^2 \mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \mathbf{v}^b + \Upsilon \frac{d\Upsilon}{d\tau_0} \mathbf{v}^b + \Upsilon^2 \gamma_a^b{}^c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c \\ &= f^b. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

If we define $\mathbf{f}$ from $\mathbf{v}^b \partial_b \mathbf{v}^a = \mathbf{f}^a$; in other words, $\mathbf{f}$ is the force term with respect to the operator $\mathbf{v}^b \partial_b \mathbf{v}^a$; then we can find a relation between the force terms $\mathbf{f}$ and f , detected by backgrounds η and g_B respectively: namely

$$\Upsilon^2 \mathbf{f}^b = f^b - \Upsilon \frac{d\Upsilon}{d\tau_0} \mathbf{v}^b - \Upsilon^2 \gamma_a^b{}^c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c. \quad (105)$$

It is natural to define the acceleration vector $\mathbf{a}$ from

$$\mathbf{a}^b \equiv -\frac{1}{\Upsilon} \frac{d\Upsilon}{d\tau_0} \mathbf{v}^b - \gamma_a^b{}^c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c; \quad (106)$$

where it should be remarked that it only depends on the background geometry g_B and $\mathbf{v}$. We also define the vector a^b as the component of the acceleration vector which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}$, namely:

$$a^b \equiv -\gamma_a^d{}^c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c (\eta_d^b - \mathbf{v}_d \mathbf{v}^b). \quad (107)$$

B. Relation between the interior and exterior dynamics

In general, the charge integrals of the Riemann tensor give a connection between the asymptotically defined total momentum $\mathcal{P}$ and the momentum P defined in the interior for the particle. This relation is given in terms of a metric structure just determined by g_A . But the dynamics we are looking for involves the interaction of particle A with the background metric g_B ; which generates gravitational radiation that affects the dynamics.

In particular, the components of the momentum are calculated with respect to the generators of translations; which at infinity are the BMS translations, and in the interior are translations of the background flat metric η . It is a fact that when effects of gravitational radiation are taken into account, the interior flat metric η does not coincide with any of the infinite family of flat metrics η_{asy} , which give the inertial frames at future null infinity. Therefore, the way in which we identify translations at infinity with translations in the interior, will not only depend on gauge choices but also necessarily introduce effects from gravitational radiation. Since our equation of motion is quadratic on these effects, in the lower order approach we will discard terms of cubic and higher order involving gravitational radiation.

The way in which the exact expression for the left hand side of (96) is related to the left hand side of (60) depends on the detail of the model one is making for the interior of the spacetime. In any case, independently from the gauge choice one is making in the interior, we have shown before that in order to avoid supertranslation ambiguities one should relate physical quantities in the asymptotic region with respect to the asymptotic center of mass frame, with the physical quantities in the interior region with respect to the interior center of mass frame.

As we have just noted, the notion of total momentum described at future null infinity, can be related to the interior notion of momentum through the linear structure of the metric g_A with respect to the flat background metric η , in the interior of the spacetime. But the time derivative of this quantity might depend on the detailed dynamics in the interior, with higher order information. Since the right hand side of (96) appears due to the existence of the momentum flux, shown on the right hand side of (60); we choose, as part of the model, the identification of the left hand side of (60) with the left hand side of (96). It might happen however, that this choice is not necessary in some gauges. We will discuss this in a separate article on a specific gauge choice.

This means that we should consider the asymptotic time variable u , at zero order on gravitational radiation

effects, to coincide with the future null cones of the particle, in background metric g_A , labeled by the proper time τ , which is defined with respect to the background metric g_B . Then, at zero order on gravitational radiation effects, we take u as the dynamical time for the right and left hand side of the equation of motion (96).

But when gravitational radiation effects are taken into account, u might fail to be the proper time τ . To consider this we introduce a new degree of freedom by allowing $\frac{du}{d\tau}$ to be different from the unit value.

Then, the equation of motion (96) is understood more precisely as

$$M_A \left(v^a \nabla_{(B)a} v^b + w v^b \right) (u) = F^b(u, v); \quad (108)$$

and where the initial operator $v^b \nabla_{(B)b} v^a$, is actually expressed in terms of the proper time τ by:

$$\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^2} v^b \nabla_{(B)b} v^a - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau^2} v^a; \quad (109)$$

[See eq. (99)] so that, the final form of (96) is

$$M_A \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^2} v^b \nabla_{(B)b} v^a - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau^2} v^a + w \left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right) v^b \right) (\tau) = F^a(u, v). \quad (110)$$

Let us note that in the right hand side we have the contribution of $\tilde{V}$; which it can be expressed as

$$\tilde{V}(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)} V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}); \quad (111)$$

with the new notation

$$V = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau}. \quad (112)$$

Then, defining

$$\mathbf{F}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu V \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0 dS^2; \quad (113)$$

we can also express the equation of motion in the form:

$$M_A \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^2} v^b \nabla_{(B)b} v^a - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau}\right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau^2} v^a + w v^b \right) (\tau) = \mathbf{F}^a(\tau, v). \quad (114)$$

From the computational point of view, it is convenient to write this equation of motion in terms of the flat background variables associated with $\mathbf{v}$. In the interior of the spacetime we can use the relation between the proper times τ and τ_0 ; which can be given by $\frac{d}{d\tau} = \Upsilon \frac{d}{d\tau_0}$; so that the equation of motion can also be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} M_A \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)^2} \mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \mathbf{v}^b + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)^2} \gamma_a^b{}_c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)^3} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2} \mathbf{v}^b + w \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \mathbf{v}^b \right) (\tau_0) = F^b(u, v) \\ = \frac{1}{\left(\Upsilon \frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)} \mathbf{F}^\mu; \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

since

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d\tau^2} = \Upsilon \frac{d}{d\tau_0} \left(\Upsilon \frac{du}{d\tau_0} \right) = \Upsilon^2 \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2} + \Upsilon \frac{d\Upsilon}{d\tau_0} \frac{du}{d\tau_0}. \quad (116)$$

This also invites to define the flux vector with respect to the proper time τ_0 ; namely:

$$\mathbf{F}_0^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \hat{l}_0^\mu V_0 \sigma'_0 \bar{\sigma}'_0 dS^2; \quad (117)$$

where we use the definition

$$V_0 = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau_0}. \quad (118)$$

Then, noting that $\mathbf{F}^\mu = \Upsilon \mathbf{F}_0^\mu$, one can write the equation of motion in the final form:

$$\begin{aligned} M_A \left(\mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \mathbf{v}^b + \gamma_a^b{}_c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)^2} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2} \mathbf{v}^b + w \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \mathbf{v}^b \right) (\tau_0) = \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \mathbf{F}_0^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

Thus, equation (119) is the main equation of motion. Contracting this equation with $\eta_{bd} \mathbf{v}^d$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_A \left(-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right)^2} \frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2} + \gamma_a^b{}_c \mathbf{v}^a \mathbf{v}^c \eta_{bd} \mathbf{v}^d \right. \\ \left. + w \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \right) = \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \mathbf{F}_0^b \eta_{bd} \mathbf{v}^d; \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

and it remains the equation of motion

$$M_A \mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \mathbf{v}^b = M_A a^b + \left(\frac{du}{d\tau_0}\right) \mathbf{F}_0^d (\eta_d^b - \mathbf{v}_d \mathbf{v}^b). \quad (121)$$

Equation (120) is understood as an equation for $\frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2}$ and the new possible degree of freedom w ; so that w could take into account the part of $F^b \eta_{bd} \mathbf{v}^d$ not balanced by $\frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2}$.

It remains to be seen, what are the appropriate initial values for the integration of $\frac{du}{d\tau_0}$. At zero order in the gravitational radiation effects we know that $\frac{du}{d\tau_0} \Big|_0 = 1/\Upsilon$. So at the beginning of the integration, we could choose this as the natural initial value for $\frac{du}{d\tau_0}$. Since Υ will be changing along the integration of the equation of motion, the integration of $\frac{d^2 u}{d\tau_0^2}$ would hide this change.

C. The balanced equations of motion in terms of orders

Our goal is to set the equations of motion for a compact object (a particle) that takes into account the first order back reaction due to the emission of gravitational radiation. This requires to deal with the dynamics in the interior of the spacetime and also with the gravitational radiation defined at future null infinity.

In setting the interior geometry we have found a natural expansion in terms of the gravitational constant G .

In the asymptotic study of gravitational radiation, it appears very naturally an expansion in terms of a quantity λ that has the information on the strength of gravitational radiation, and is defined such that the flux of momentum is of order λ^2 .

In making a link between the interior study and the asymptotic study, it is then natural to make a double expansion in terms of powers of G and λ . We will therefore refer to a (p, q) order meaning terms up to order (G^p, λ^q) .

Someone could say that it is unnecessary to include in the discussion of orders the λ parameter; since actually one could estimate the way in which the gravitational constant appears in the radiation field. In particular, one can deduce that since at lowest order the radiation field is calculated from the term h_A of the metric, it is already of order G . But also, since the radiation field is generated by the accelerated motion of the particle in the background, it is also of order M_B ; which means that $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(M_A M_B) = \mathcal{O}(G^2)$. Although this is true, we prefer to keep the control parameter λ for the discussion of orders; since it better captures the incidence of the radiation fields in the dynamics.

The functional form of $\mathbf{F}_0$ depends crucially on the gauge one is using to relate the interior dynamics with the asymptotic region. So, it might be that actually $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}_0(u_{\text{asy}}, \mathbf{v}, \dot{\mathbf{v}})$; that is, the flux might also depend on time derivatives of the velocity. Because of this we next indicate the way the equation of motion must be understood.

Let us observe that at first sight, the term on the left hand side of (121) is of order (1,0): since M_A is order G . The first term on the right hand side is instead of order (2,0); since a^b is also of order G . The second term on the right hand side depends quadratically on the radiation and therefore is of order (2,2). The idea is then, to consider first the equation up to order G^2 , and calculate the acceleration at this order; and then we proceed to calculate the flux of gravitational radiation, that will depend on the details of the chosen gauges, and with this, we build the complete equation and integrate the operator $\mathbf{v}^a \partial_a \mathbf{v}^b$ at order (2,2). In other words, any possible appearance of a time derivative on the velocity in the flux, must be replaced by the acceleration a ; so that in the general case, $\mathbf{F}_0$ must be understood as

$$\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}_0(\tau_0, \mathbf{v}, a). \quad (122)$$

As indicated before, the detail of these expressions, depend on the preferred gauge choices.

Of course, for a specify use of the equation of motion one should maintain the different terms at consistent orders of approximation.

VII. FINAL COMMENTS

When embarking on the construction of a model for compact objects within the particle paradigm in general relativistic theories, it is natural to recur to approximation schemes in terms of some order parameter. One

knows from the start that such construction will have sense only if it is thought in terms of finite orders.

These models for balanced equations of motion for particles in a general relativistic framework intend to improve on the range of possible systems that we can study with respect to the ranges covered by previous models like the post-Newtonian and the self-force techniques. Note also, that in principle our approach can be used with different fields equations, due to the fact that our model is based in the local conservation of the energy momentum tensor, instead of the details of the field equations.

In this work we have presented the general form of the equation of motion for particles subjected to back reaction effects due to gravitational radiation by using various choices of dynamical time. We have also pointed out several of the issues one must handle when constructing balanced equations of motion, as for example the relation of generators of translations in the interior an at future null infinity. These type of problems is generally overlooked in the literature. The reasons for the usual simplifications of the descriptions is because the subject is very complex, as has been indicated in [29]. The specific equation of motion can be calculated when a definite field equation and a choice of gauges has been made.

Our main basic working assumptions have been: that the back reaction effects due to gravitational radiation can be well represented by the calculation in the linearized spacetime of the particle using the unaffected null cones; that the notion of center of mass at future null infinity are well described by the previous works of the authors; that to each retarded time at future null infinity there corresponds a flat background metric, as described above, and this must be related to the corresponding notion of center of mass in the interior at the needed order of approximation; and that the first correction to the zero order equation of motion comes from the balance of the change of momentum with the radiation of momentum at infinity. In relation to the structure of the particle, we have assumed that its mechanical properties are completely determined by a constant mass and we have disregarded possible spin and dipole contributions. Regarding the dipole, we have remarked in section IIB that at zero order, particles should not be assigned any dipole structure.

With respect to the unspecified theory of gravity that describes the structure of the spacetime, we recall here that in this presentation we are assuming: that isolated objects are well represented by asymptotically flat spacetimes; that the linear structure of the field equation is such that allows for the identification of the total momentum at infinity with the total momentum calculated in the interior from the energy momentum tensor; that the energy momentum tensor is conserved, that is its divergence is zero.

The differences with the post-Newtonian approach are obvious, since we do not make any assumption on low velocities and/or weak fields. So that our setting in principle allows us to consider more general systems that those allowed with post-Newtonian approaches.

Some aspects of the model presented here has certain similarities with the so called post-Minkowskian formalisms usually studied within the framework of Einstein's equations[31–33]. However, our approach differs from those formalisms in the way in which the equations of motion are obtained because our approach makes use of the energy-momentum tensor and its conservation rather than obtaining them through particular field equations. Furthermore, the post-Minkowskian formalism is usually presented in the harmonic gauge, while our presentation is independent of the chosen gauge, even though the fine details of the equations of motion depend on each choice of gauge and field equations under consideration.

To all this, we can add that the way in which different gauges affect the final form of the equation of motion, is a subject that deserves a detailed study that we will present elsewhere.

It is important to remark that in analogy to what we have found in the case of charged particles in Minkowski spacetime, there are at least two further degrees of freedom involved in the dynamics. Although the w degree of freedom might be associated to whether one wants to consider a constant mass parameter or not for the particle; the best choice for w depends on the gauge one has chosen.

Furthermore, particular gauge choices might involve new degrees of freedom; as we will show in separate

works.

The fact that the final form of the equations of motion is dependent on the choice of gauges for the calculations, should not be understood in a negative way; on the contrary, it is the natural expectation for a finite degree of freedom model intended to represent and infinite degree of freedom system. However from the astrophysical point of view there is a general believe that the main observational properties in the collapsing phase of compact object system, might be well represented by models with finite degrees of freedom. From another point of view, models with finite degrees of freedom might provide well approximated initial data to be used in full numerical calculations for the final stages of collapse.

This approach to the equations of motion can be generalized in a number of ways. The natural next topic that we plan to deal is the introduction of spin for the particles. This and other issues will be dealt in future works.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from CONICET and SeCyT-UNC.

-
- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
 - [2] C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 084027 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0502039.
 - [3] L. Blanchet, Living Rev. Rel. **17**, 2 (2014), arXiv:1310.1528 [gr-qc].
 - [4] P. Jaranowski and G. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. **D87**, 081503 (2013), arXiv:1303.3225 [gr-qc].
 - [5] E. Poisson, A. Pound, and I. Vega, Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 7 (2011), arXiv:1102.0529 [gr-qc].
 - [6] R. M. Wald, *Mass and motion in general relativity. Proceedings, School on Mass, Orleans, France, June 23-25, 2008*, Fundam. Theor. Phys. **162**, 253 (2011), [253(2009)], arXiv:0907.0412 [gr-qc].
 - [7] T. Damour, A. Nagar, M. Hannam, S. Husa, and B. Bruggmann, “Accurate effective-one-body waveforms of inspiralling and coalescing black-hole binaries,” arXiv:0803.3162 [gr-qc] (2008).
 - [8] R. P. Kerr, Nuovo Cim. **XIII**, 469 (1959).
 - [9] R. P. Kerr, Nuovo Cim. **XIII**, 492 (1959).
 - [10] R. P. Kerr, Nuovo Cim. **XIII**, 673 (1959).
 - [11] R. P. Kerr, Nuovo Cim. **XVI**, 26 (1960).
 - [12] E. Gallo and O. M. Moreschi, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 065005 (2012), arXiv:1112.5344 [gr-qc].
 - [13] O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quantum Grav. **4**, 1063 (1987).
 - [14] R. Geroch and J. Traschen, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1017 (1987).
 - [15] L. B. Szabados, Living Rev. Relativity **12** ((2009) 4), <http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2009-4>.
 - [16] W. Dixon, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A314**, 499 (1970).
 - [17] M. Mathisson, Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 1011 (2010), acta Phys. Pol. 6, 163 (1937).
 - [18] M. Mathisson, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **36**, 331 (1940).
 - [19] O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quantum Grav. **3**, 503 (1986).
 - [20] R. Sachs, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2851 (1962).
 - [21] O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quant. Grav. **5**, 423 (1988).
 - [22] O. M. Moreschi and S. Dain, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 6631 (1998).
 - [23] S. Dain and O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, 3663 (2000).
 - [24] O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 5409 (2004).
 - [25] E. Gallo and O. M. Moreschi, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 084009 (2014), arXiv:1404.2475 [gr-qc].
 - [26] R. Geroch, A. Held, and R. Penrose, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 874 (1973).
 - [27] R. Penrose, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 66 (1963).
 - [28] L. Lehner and O. Moreschi, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 3377 (1995).
 - [29] O. M. Moreschi, Class. Quantum Grav. **5**, L53 (1988).
 - [30] M. Walker and C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 3495 (1979), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. D20, 3437(1979)].
 - [31] N. D. J. I. J. M. Luis Bel, Thibaut Damour, Gen. Relat. Gravit. **13**, 963 (1981).
 - [32] S. F. Smith and P. Havas, Phys. Rev. **138**, B495 (1965).
 - [33] A. Rosenblum, J. Phys. A **16**, 2575 (1983).